Three-Year Continuous Observation of Pure and Polluted Dust Aerosols Over Northwest China Using the Ground-Based Lidar and Sun Photometer Data
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Abstract

Mineral dust is a dominant aerosol type in northwestern China and can mix with other air pollutants during its long-range transport, playing an important role in Earth’s energy budget and hydrological cycle on both regional and global scales. In this study, the optical properties of pure dust and polluted dust were compared by using ground-based lidar and sun photometer data at SACOL (Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University) from August 2009 to August 2012. The total attenuated backscattering coefficient at 532 nm, the volume depolarization ratio (VDR) and the color ratio (CR) derived from the L2S-SM-II dual-band polarization lidar and aerosol optical depth (AOD) and Angstrom exponent from sun photometer data were used to identify pure dust and polluted dust. The results showed that AOD at 440 nm of pure dust was widely distributed within the range of 0.4–1.1 but the AOD of polluted dust was generally less than 0.6. The frequency distribution of VDR showed that the mean value of pure dust was greater than that of polluted dust and the peak values were 0.31 and 0.21, respectively. The frequency distribution of CR showed that the mean value of pure dust was larger than that of polluted dust, and it was mostly pure dust aerosol when color ratio was greater than 0.8. The VDR showed a positive correlation with CR. Using the frequency distribution of VDR, a threshold value of 0.25 was proposed to differentiate pure dust from polluted dust. The rates of misclassification were 20.7% and 18.6% for pure dust and polluted dust, respectively. This work will be helpful for the classification of aerosol types in satellite observations and numerical modeling.

1. Introduction

Mineral dust is one of the most important types of tropospheric aerosols (Huang et al., 2014) and can affect the Earth-atmosphere’s radiation budget by absorbing and scattering solar/terrestrial radiation (direct effect; Huang et al., 2009; Li, 2004; Shi et al., 2005; Sokolik & Toon, 1996), alter the optical properties and lifetime of clouds (indirect effect; e.g., Sassen, 2002), and enhance the evaporation of cloud droplets, further reduce the cloud water path of warming clouds (semidirect effect; Huang, Lin, et al., 2006), all of which can impact the climate system (Huang et al., 2005; Huang, Lin, et al., 2006; Huang, Minnis, et al., 2006; Twomey et al., 1984), especially in semiarid regions over East Asia (J. Huang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2014). Dust can also change the global climate by serving as ice nuclei and changing cloud properties (Xie et al., 2013). Dust aerosols have obvious heating or cooling effects that can change the atmospheric thermal circulations and dynamics (Kang et al., 2017). However, the existing atmospheric dust loading cannot be explained by natural sources alone, and anthropogenic dust is also a contributing factor (Tegen & Fung, 1995). The atmospheric dust that originates from soils disturbed by human activities, such as land use practices, can be interpreted as anthropogenic dust (Huang et al., 2015; Tegen & Fung, 1995).

Local anthropogenic dust aerosols associated with agricultural and industrial activities account for 25% of the total dust burden in the atmosphere (Huang et al., 2015). More than 53% of the anthropogenic dusts come from semiarid and semiwet regions (Guan et al., 2016). These anthropogenic dusts can increase atmospheric dust loading, which in turn induces radiative forcing (Chen et al., 2017, 2018; Tegen & Fung, 1995). The annual average anthropogenic dust column burden values range from 0.42 g/m² maximum in India to 0.12 g/m² minimum in North America (Huang et al., 2015). Previous works (Guan et al., 2016) have also explored the relationship between anthropogenic dusts and population over semiarid regions on a global scale. The results show that a positive correlation between anthropogenic dust and population is
more obvious for croplands than for other land cover types (crop mosaics, grassland, and urbanized regions). The most significant relationship between anthropogenic dust and population was found in the semiarid region of India, where is a high portion of croplands.

Although there are some quantitative assessments about the anthropogenic dust loading, the accuracy of these results is still under investigation due to the limitation of data sets and detection methods. In Huang's method (Huang et al., 2015), approximately 9.6% of the anthropogenic dust is misclassified as natural dust and 8.7% of the natural dust is misclassified as anthropogenic dust within the planetary boundary layer. East Asia has the highest concentration of anthropogenic aerosols in the world (Sugimoto, Nishizawa, Shimizu, Matsui, Jin, et al., 2015). It is also a unique region where mineral dust sources are located near urban and industrial areas. During transport, dust often mixes with anthropogenic aerosols, producing polluted dust, which induces more serious environmental and climatic problems (Su et al., 2008).

Asian advanced active remote sensing instrument with high resolution and accurate detection abilities in the lower altitudes, lidar has become an important approach to detecting the spatial and temporal distributions of aerosol properties (Zhou, Huang, Huang, Li, et al., 2013; Zhou, Huang, Huang, Liu, et al., 2013). Hua and Kobayashi (2005a, 2005b) and Hua et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2007) used ultraviolet Rayleigh-Mie lidar and Raman lidar for detecting the temperature profile in the troposphere. Chen et al. (2010) and Liu et al. (2014) used the satellite-based Cloud-Aerosol lidar with Orthogonal Polarization to detect the dust layers with fewer misclassifications. Zhao et al. (2014) used the micropulse lidar to detect aerosols and clouds, and Wang et al. (2018) used the ceilometer to develop methods for cloud base detection. However, ground-based lidar has an obvious advantage over satellite-based lidar when detecting dust particles near the surface. In the present study, the ground-based lidar measurements were used to validate the thresholds used in the detection method of anthropogenic dust (Huang et al., 2015).

The paper is arranged as follows. The details of the data sets are given in section 2. In section 3, the inversion and detection method is introduced. Examples of distinguishing pure dust and polluted dust are presented in section 4. A comparison of the optical properties of two dust cases is presented in section 5. The conclusion and discussion are presented in section 6.

2. Data and HYSPLIT Model

2.1. Surface Station Data

The global surface weather data set (http://www.cma.gov.cn/2011qxfw/2011qsjgx/) from the China Meteorological Administration State Information Center was used in this study. This data set is derived from the surface hourly data on the global scale and which were decoded and normalized. The temporal coverage of the data set spans from 1 January 1980 to 1 June 2015. The data set is strictly quality controlled. Here we analyzed the present-time weather phenomena at Yuzhong station from August 2009 to August 2012. Figure 1 shows the spatial distribution of dust events from October 2009 to June 2013 in China, with colors representing the number of dust event. The location of SACOL (Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University) is shown in green, and the nearby dust source includes Taklimakan Desert and the Gobi Desert. Dust events occur mainly in the arid and semiarid areas. It can be seen from Figure 1 that most dust weather occurred in northern China with the frequent dust days occurred over the two source regions, that is, the Gobi Desert in Inner Mongolia along the Northern China, and the surrounding areas of the Taklamakan Desert in Xinjiang. The total dust days was about 10 in most northern China and over 80 in most source regions. The dust days around the SACOL site was in the medium, varied from 10 to 50.

2.2. Lidar and Sun Photometer Measurements

L2S-SM-II dual-band depolarization lidar from the National Institute for Environment Studies (NIES) and the Cimel Electronique sun photometer (CE-318) are operated at SACOL and have observed aerosols and clouds since August 2009 (Huang et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2011). NIES lidar and sun photometer data at SACOL were part of Asian Dust Network and Aerosol Robotic NETwork, respectively. SACOL is situated on the Loess Plateau (35.946°N, 104.137°E) at approximately 1,965.8-m above sea level. The topography of SACOL is characterized by plains, ridges, and mounds, etc. Its surrounding area is flat and covered with
short grass. The site was built on the top of Cuiying Mountain because the environment here is rarely affected by human activity. Thus, the climate at the site can represent that of the surrounding hundreds of kilometers.

The L2S-SM-II dual-band depolarization lidar is a two-wavelength polarization-sensitive backscatter lidar, and its structure is shown in Figure 2. This lidar system consists of three parts: the laser source, signal receipt system, and data recording device. The temporal and vertical resolution of the lidar structure is 15 min and 6 m, respectively. The so-called linear iterative method (Elterman, 1966) and the Fernald method (Fernald, 1984) were most widely used for deriving particulate extinction and backscatter coefficients from calibrated, range-corrected lidar signals. In this study, all sky data were used. In our procedure, first cloud base was detected, and data higher than this height are eliminated. Thus, the cloud does not effect on the aerosol retrieval. Next, vertical profile of S/N ratio is checked and the analysis range is limited to good S/N region (height section). If there was optically thick layer near the ground, S/N in middle troposphere becomes worse and upper limit of inversion decreases. Thus, we can assume the accuracy is almost uniform in the region where extinction coefficient was calculated.
Here vertical profiles of the aerosol optical properties were derived using the Fernald method (Zhou, Huang, Huang, Liu, et al., 2013; Zhou, Huang, Huang, Li, et al., 2013). The total attenuated backscattering coefficient (ABC) at 532 nm, the volume depolarization ratio (VDR), and the color ratio (CR) were derived. The CE-318 takes measurements of direct solar irradiances at multiple discrete channels within the spectral range of 340–1640 nm, which can be used to calculate aerosol optical depth (AOD), Angstrom component (AC) and fine-mode fraction. In this study, we examined a continuous period from August 2009 to August 2012.

2.3. Satellite Data Sets

The OMI (Ozone Monitoring Instrument) is on board Aura, a member of the A-Train satellite constellation. OMI mainly observes ozone and other key air quality molecules such as NO2, SO2, BrO, OClO, and aerosol. OMI data sets can be distinguished among different aerosol types, such as smoke, dust, and sulfates. The aerosol index (AI) is provided in OMI level 3 daily aerosol gridded products, representing a good indicator for absorbing aerosols including dust aerosols. CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation) is also a member of A-Train satellite constellation. This satellite provides a new insight into the role of clouds and aerosols in regulating Earth’s weather, climate, and air quality. Vertical distribution of aerosol type along the orbit is provided by the CALIPSO level 2 VFM product.

2.4. HYSPLIT-4 Model

The HYSPLIT-4 (fourth-generation Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) transport model provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Air Resources Laboratory was used to calculate the simple air-parcel trajectories with interpolated meteorological fields. Back trajectory simulation produced with the HYSPLIT-4 model was used to explore the most likely sources and transportation path of the dusts. The 6-hr-interval final archive data were generated from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction Global Data Assimilation System reanalysis three-dimensional meteorological fields. The spatial and time resolution was 1° and 6 hr, respectively. The start time for simulation was 19 March 2010 and 29 July 2010. The simulation time was 36 and 18 hr, respectively.

3. Detection Methods

Lidar and sun photometer data were both used to discriminate pure dust and polluted dust aerosols. The flowchart of the detection method used here is shown in Figure 3.

3.1. Dust Detection by a Depolarization Lidar

Generally, cloud droplets have a larger ABC and higher CR (~1) values than aerosol particles. However, mineral dust and marine aerosol have a relatively large CR value under higher relative humidity conditions. These scattering features can be used to distinguish aerosols from clouds (Zhao et al., 2014). The attenuated backscattering coefficient is vital in many aspects. Accurate aerosol and cloud heights and the retrieval of extinction coefficient profiles are all derived from the total backscatter measurements (Garrett & Zhao, 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2012, 2014, 2016). Additionally, the VDR is a useful indicator to identify nonspherical particles and provides an opportunity to discriminate dust aerosols.

The classical lidar equation is expressed as follows,

$$P(z)z^2 = E \cdot C \cdot \beta(z) \cdot T^2(z),$$

where $P(z)$ is the return signal that is proportional to the received power from a scattering volume at slant range $z$; $E$ is an output energy monitor pulse, which is proportional to the transmitted energy; $C$ is the calibration constant of the instrument, which includes losses in the transmitting and receiving optics and the
effective receiver aperture; $\beta(z)$ is the backscattering cross sections of the aerosols and molecules at slant range $z$; and $T(z) = \exp \left[-\int_0^z \sigma(z)dz\right]$ = the transmittance of the aerosols and molecules at slant range $z$, where $\sigma$ represents the extinction cross sections of the aerosols and molecules at range $z$, $\beta(z)$ is defined as the backscatter coefficient, and $\beta(z) \cdot T(z)$ is defined as the attenuated backscatter coefficient.

The VDR is defined as the perpendicular components of ABC at 532 nm divided by the parallel components of the same coefficient. The expression is as follows:

$$\delta(r) = \frac{\beta_{332, \perp}(r)}{\beta_{332, \parallel}(r)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\beta_{332, \perp}(r)$ and $\beta_{332, \parallel}(r)$ are the attenuated backscatter coefficients at the perpendicular and parallel channel at height $r$ above the ground. The sphericity of a particle is represented by its VDR, such that a value near 0 indicates a nearly spherical particle, while a large value indicates a nonspherical particle. The VDR of ice crystals is typically in the range of 30%-50% and depends on the crystal shape and aspect ratio. Lower values can be seen when horizontally oriented particles are present (Sassen & Benson, 2001). In contrast, the backscattering from spherical water droplets preserves the polarization of the incident light; therefore, the value of the VDR is close to 0. Ansmann et al. (2003) suggested that the VDR is predominantly influenced by the sphericity of the dust particles. Therefore, the polarization is sensitive to nonspherical particles, such as ice and dust particles. In a large number of previous publications, VDR acted as criteria to distinguish clouds, cloud phases, aerosols and aerosol types. Huang et al. (2015) and Liu et al. (2005) used VDR to differentiate pure dust and polluted dust.

Figure 4. Distribution of attenuated backscattering coefficient at 532 nm (a), volume depolarization ratio (b), color ratio (c) measured by National Institute for Environment Studies and aerosol optical depth (red), coarse mode fraction (blue) (d) measured by sun-photometer on 19 March 2010. The unit of Figure 3a is $10^{-2}$/km/sr.
The CR is defined as the ratio of the ABC at 1064 nm to that at 532 nm. The expression is as follows:

\[ x(r) = \frac{\beta_{1064}(r)}{\beta_{532}(r)} \]  

(2)

where \( \beta_{1064}(r) \) and \( \beta_{532}(r) \) is the attenuated backscatter coefficients measured at 1,064 and 532 nm at height \( z \) above the ground. The CR is an indicator of the particle size as well as particle’s variable scattering of light across the available spectra. A large value of CR indicates a large particle and vice versa. The CR can be used to distinguish clouds and aerosols. In addition, CR is sensitive to the orientation, shape, and size of the particle.

When the lidar signal is processed, the general rules used in classifications are as follows: ABC values at 532 nm were located within the ranges of 0.08–0.16 \( 10^{-2}/\text{km/sr} \), 0.16–0.44 \( 10^{-2}/\text{km/sr} \) and 0.44–0.72 \( 10^{-2}/\text{km/sr} \), corresponding to low, medium, and high aerosol concentrations, respectively (Lüthi et al., 2015). If the VDR is high (~0.17), then the layer is dominated by dust; if the VDR is low (~0.02) and the CR is high (~0.35), then the layer is dominated by pollutants; and if the VDR is somewhere in the middle and the CR is high, then the layer should be a mixture of dust and pollutants (and possibly other types of aerosols; Liu et al., 2008).

Therefore, in this study, ABC values located within the range of 0.08–0.72 \( 10^{-2}/\text{km/sr} \), VDR values located within the range of 0.1–0.5 and CR values smaller than 2.0 were used to identify dust aerosols.

3.2. Dust Detection Using Sun Photometer Data

The criteria for the identification of pure and polluted dust were proposed by Bi et al. (2017). (1) Particles with high aerosol optical depth at 440 nm (AOD_{440} ≥ 0.4) and a low Angstrom wavelength exponent (\( \alpha < 0.2 \)) at 440–870 nm are defined as pure dust that maintains high accuracy of pure Asian dust and eliminates most fine-mode aerosols. (2) The particles with AOD_{440} ≥ 0.4 and 0.2 < \( \alpha < 0.6 \) are classified as polluted dust, which is mainly dominated by dust and might mix with other anthropogenic aerosols. Pure dust and polluted dust aerosols were identified only when the two criteria above were satisfied.

4. Case Studies

4.1. Pure Dust Case

Lidar signals dependent on height and time were used to distinguish dust from cloud droplets and air molecules. The values of ABC and CR for dust are smaller than those of clouds and greater than those of air...
molecules. Figures 4a–4c presents the dust case observed by the NIES lidar on 19 March 2010. Generally, the NIES lidar products indicate aerosols with green-yellow-orange color schemes and clouds with white-gray color schemes. As shown in Figure 4, there was an aerosol layer from the surface up to 2 km with ABC, VDR, and CR values located within the ranges of $0.25–0.72 \times 10^{-2}/\text{km/sr}$, 0.2–0.4, and 0.6–1.0, respectively. AOD was approximately 0.8–1.0, and the coarse mode fraction was over 0.8. Surface weather records showed that there was floating dust on that day. Therefore, this aerosol layer detected at SACOL could be regarded as a pure dust layer.

AI was over 2.5 in Mongolia and the Taklamakan region, which suggested the occurrence of a dust storm (as shown in Figure 5a). Dust aerosols were transported to SACOL as a result of the northwest wind, and dust aerosols within 6 km above the ground were detected in the west of SACOL by the CALIPSO observation (as shown in Figure 5c). The back trajectories from the HYSPLIT model were used to investigate the origins and transport of the dust aerosols as shown in Figure 6. The back trajectory started at SACOL which is marked with a black star. The trajectories are marked with different colors indicating starting points at different altitudes, and the altitudes of the air-entrained dust particles during their transport are provided at the bottom of Figure 6. It was clear that the dust aerosols detected at SACOL originated from the neighboring Gobi Desert in Mongolia and were transported to SACOL with a northwest wind.
4.2. Polluted Dust Case

Figures 7a–7c shows a polluted dust case observed by the NIES lidar on 29 July 2010. There was an aerosol layer from the surface up to 3 km with ABC, VDR and CR located within the ranges of $0.15 \times 10^{-2}$ km$^{-1}$sr, $0.06 \times 0.3$, and $0.5 \times 0.8$, respectively. AOD was approximately 0.5, and the coarse mode fraction was approximately 0.85. Surface weather record showed that there was no dust event on that day. In the Taklamakan region, the average value of AI was approximately 1.5, which suggested there was a weak dust event (as shown in Figure 8a). Near the SACOL, polluted dust and smoke aerosols were detected from the surface to 2 km above the ground in the daytime, and dust aerosols were detected up to 1 km above the ground in the nighttime by the CALIPSO observation (as shown in Figures 8b–8d). Dust aerosols were transported to SACOL by the northwest wind, and polluted dust and smoke aerosols were transported to SACOL by the south wind.

The back trajectories from the HYSPLIT model (as shown in Figure 9) were used to study the source and transport of the dust aerosols. The dust aerosols detected at SACOL originated from the neighboring Taklamakan Desert. There were two main pathways: the northern pathway, by which pure dust aerosols were transported to SACOL, and the southern pathway, by which polluted dust and smoke aerosols were transported to SACOL. When dusts arrived at SACOL, the pure dust, polluted dust and smoke aerosols mixed together.

About the potential uncertainties in the meteorology/trajectory, four types of uncertainties have been summarized in Ma et al. (2015). The first type is the observation measurements uncertainty in the meteorology. The second is the prediction uncertainty caused by model. The third is physical uncertainty caused by the spatial and temporal disagreement. The last is the computation uncertainty including integral uncertainty.
and resolution uncertainty. Using the HYSPLIT backward trajectory model, the 3-day forward trajectory of the 100 m air particle at the Hetian station at 12 o’clock on 12 March 2010 was simulated, and the integral error and resolution error in the trajectory calculation were analyzed. In the simulation test, the integral error has little contribution to the calculation error of the trajectory. With the extension of the integration time, the integral error increases slightly. The resolution error is different at different integral moments and is related to the terrain height and the weather system. In the trajectory mode, the trajectory calculation is based on the linear interpolation of wind speed in time and space. Therefore, the uncertainty of trajectory simulation is also related to interpolation. It has a significant influence on the calculation results of the trajectory when using driving data of different resolutions. The contribution of the resolution error to the trajectory calculation error is much larger than the integral error caused by the truncation approximation in the integration process.

5. Comparison of the Optical Properties of Two Types of Dust

A histogram of the AOD, AC, VDR, and CR for both pure dust and polluted dust is shown in Figures 10a–10d. The number of cases of pure dust and polluted dust aerosol was approximately 320 and 600, respectively. Using these frequency distributions, we can constrain satellite observation and model results. The AOD of pure dust was widely distributed within the range of 0.4–1.1, while 80% of the AOD values for polluted dust were smaller than 0.6. The mean value of VDR for pure dust was greater than that for polluted dust, which means that polluted dust was more spherical. The peak value of VDR for pure dust and polluted dust was 0.21 and 0.31, respectively. Freudenthaler et al. (2009) and Wandinger et al. (2010) both found that the particle depolarization ratio of pure dust aerosol was

Figure 8. Distribution of aerosol index (color), wind speed (arrows) at 10 m from ECMWF (a), overpass of CALIPSO (b) and vertical feature mask at nighttime (c) and daytime (d) from CALIPSO on 29 July 2010. The colors in Figure 7b represent topographical elevation. In Figures 7c and 7d, 1 = marine, 2 = dust, 3 = polluted continental, 4 = clean continental, 5 = polluted dust, 6 = smoke. SACOL = Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University; ECMWF = European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts; CALIPSO = Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation.
approximately 0.3 during SAMUM-1 (Saharan Mineral Dust Experiment) and SAMUM-2. The frequency distribution of CR showed that the mean value of pure dust was larger than that of polluted dust. When the CR was larger than 0.8, it was mostly pure dust. In the source regions, the CR values of dust particles were between 0.7 and 1.0 (He & Yi, 2015; Huang et al., 2007).

The polluted dust aerosol was more spherical; therefore, VDR could be used to discriminate pure dust and polluted dust. The threshold value of 0.25 was proposed, which was consistent with Huang et al. (2015). Using this simple classification method, the misclassification for pure dust and polluted dust was 20.7% and 18.6%, respectively. Meanwhile, the total misclassification remained at a low level. Although most of the pure dust and polluted dust could be classified using the VDR threshold, the overlapping value between 0.24 and 0.26 may indicate ambiguous values for distinguishing pure dust and polluted dust via the VDR approach alone. Extra effort is still needed to reduce the misclassification. The reason why we could use VDR to discriminate pure dust and polluted dust aerosol is that dust mixes with smoke or other anthropogenic aerosols during transport, which makes the mixed aerosol nearly spherical. For example, dust particles can be fully mixed with inorganic salt (Fan et al., 1996; Shen et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2005); pollution elements such as Se, Ni, Pb, Br, Cu (Zhang et al., 2005); black carbon (Kim et al., 2007).

Figure 9. Seventy-two-hour back trajectories of air parcels passing through Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University on 29 July 2010 by using the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) fourth-generation Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model. GDAS = Global Data Assimilation System.
et al., 2004); VOCs; (volatile organic compounds) and polyaromatic hydrocarbon during long-range transport (He & Yi, 2015).

The relationship between the layer-integrated ABC and the layer-integrated VDR was used to distinguish dust aerosols, water clouds, and ice clouds, and the obviously different distributions of these molecules can be used to identify these features (Zhou, Huang, Huang, Li, et al., 2013). A scatterplot of the particle depolarization ratio at 532 nm and the backscattering CR was used to separate the clusters of spherical air-pollution aerosols and Asian dust (Sugimoto et al., 2002). Here we also attempted to investigate the relationship between the VDR and the CR for pure dust and polluted dust aerosols.

Figure 11 shows the percentage of occurrences of pure dust and polluted dust in a 0.01 × 0.01 pixel. The distribution of VDR and CR for pure dust aerosols was wider than that for polluted dust. The relationship between VDR and CR showed that the VDR was located within the ranges of 0.2–0.42 and 0.15–0.26 for pure dust aerosol and polluted dust aerosol, and the CR was located within the ranges of 0.5–1.1 and 0.5–0.8, respectively. Additionally, a positive correlation between VDR and CR was observed, which was consistent with Freudenthaler et al. (2009).

Figure 10. Comparison of the frequency distributions of aerosol optical depth (AOD) (a), AC (b), volume depolarization ratio (VDR) (c), and color ratio (CR) (d) for pure dust (blue) and polluted dust (red).

Figure 11. Relationship between attenuated backscattering coefficient and volume depolarization ratio for (a) pure dust and (b) polluted dust. The colors represent the percentage in each 0.01*0.01 box.
6. Conclusion and Discussion

Pure dust and polluted dust could be distinguished by using a combination of ground-based L2S-SM-II dual-band polarization lidar data, sun photometer data, surface weather station data, and back trajectories from the HYSPLIT model. The optical properties of pure dust and polluted dust were studied. The conclusions are as follows.

The frequency distribution of VDR value showed that the mean value of pure dust was greater than that of polluted dust with peak values of 0.31 and 0.21, respectively. The frequency distribution of CR showed that the mean value of pure dust was greater than that of polluted dust, and pure dust was dominant when color ratio was larger than 0.8.

The relationship between VDR and CR showed that the distribution of pure dust was wider than that of polluted dust. The VDR of pure dust and polluted dust was located within the ranges of 0.2–0.42 and 0.15–0.26, and the CR was located within the ranges of 0.5–1.1 and 0.5–0.8, respectively. Additionally, a positive correlation between VDR and CR was observed in both types of dust aerosols.

Because the polluted dust aerosol was relatively spherical, VDR could be used to simply discriminate pure dust and polluted dust. Using the frequency distribution of VDR, the threshold value of 0.25 was proposed to differentiate pure dust from polluted dust. The rate of misclassification was 20.7% and 18.6% for pure dust and polluted dust, respectively. The overlapping value between 0.24 and 0.26 may indicate ambiguous values for distinguishing pure dust and polluted dust via the VDR approach alone. Additional effort is needed to reduce the misclassification.

To investigate the mixing of Asian dusts with anthropogenic aerosols during their long-range transports, an intensive spring aerosol in situ sampling campaign was conducted over northwestern and northern China as well as Shanghai in eastern China during the spring of 2007 (K. Huang et al., 2010). The origins of dusts and mixing mechanisms were studied by using the aerosol concentrations measured in this campaign. The dust from the Taklimakan Desert was rarely polluted, which was similar to the pure dust in our study. The dust from high-calcium Mongolia Gobi Desert had a considerable chemical reactivity and was mixed with sulfur precursors emitted from coal mines on the pathway of the long-range transport, which was similar to the polluted dust in our study.

Aerosol optical properties were continuously measured with the NIES compact Raman lidar in Beijing, China, from 15 to 31 December 2007 (Xie et al., 2008). The results indicated that the VDR was mostly below 10% during the pollution episode, whereas it was greater than 20% during the Asian dust episode. The average VDR of the nonspherical mineral dust particles was 19.54 ± 0.53%, which is slightly smaller than that in our results. The particle depolarization ratio (PDR) is a useful indicator of nonsphericity for the identification of ice clouds (Sassen, 1991) and dust layers (Kobayashi et al., 1985; Murayama et al., 1999). PDR could be derived from the observed total to Rayleigh backscatter ratio and VDR. Shimizu et al. (2004) found that in Beijing, the PDR distribution centered on 0.15–0.2 with a broad range, and there was no peak below 0.1. This broad distribution showed that the air always contained both nonspherical (dust particles) and spherical particles. PDR will be used to detect pure dust and polluted dust in our future work.

The mixing state of polluted dust has been studied by using chemical methods (e.g., Song et al., 2013; Sullivan et al., 2007). Additionally, internally mixed Asian dust with air-pollution aerosols was detected by analyzing the scatter diagram between the depolarization ratio from the NIES lidar and the forward scattering intensity from the POPC (Sugimoto, Nishizawa, Shimizu, Matsui, Kobayashi, et al., 2015). The results showed that the backscattering CR of the polluted dust was comparable to that of pure dust, but the depolarization ratio was lower for polluted dust. However, it is difficult to study the mixing state of polluted dust by using NIES lidar data alone.
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